



addition, as also demonstrated in Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Strick's motion for summary judgment, Strick is not entitled to summary judgment on any of the claims asserted by Plaintiffs. For the reasons set forth in Plaintiffs' Response and Brief in Opposition to Strick's Motion for Summary Judgment, summary judgment in favor of Strick is not appropriate since Plaintiffs have evidence of the elements of each cause of action and the law does not provide that Strick is entitled to the relief sought in Strick's Motion for Summary Judgment.

Therefore, Plaintiffs pray that Plaintiffs' Objections be sustained, that Strick's Motion for Summary Judgment be denied in its entirety, and that Plaintiffs be granted all relief, at law and in equity, to which they are entitled.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Aubrey "Nick" Pittman  
AUBREY "NICK" PITTMAN  
State Bar No. 16049750

**THE PITTMAN LAW FIRM, P.C.**  
100 Crescent Court, Suite 700  
Dallas, Texas 75201-2112  
214-459-3454  
214-853-5912 – fax  
pittman@thepittmanlawfirm.com

/s/ Daryl K. Washington  
DARYL K. WASHINGTON  
State Bar No. 24013714

**LAW OFFICES OF DARYL K. WASHINGTON  
P.C.**  
325 N. St. Paul St., Suite 1975  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
214-880-4883  
469-718-0380 - fax  
dWASHINGTON@dwashlawfirm.com

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 5, 2014, the foregoing pleading was filed with the clerk of the court for the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, using the electronic case filing system of the court. The electronic case filing system sent a “Notice of Electronic Filing” to all attorneys of record who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of documents by electronic means.

/s/ Aubrey “Nick” Pittman  
AUBREY “NICK” PITTMAN